## Case3:10-md-02143-RS Document1521 Filed02/12/15 Page1 of 3 1 Jeffrey A. LeVee (State Bar No. 125863) ilevee@JonesDav.com Eric P. Enson (State Bar No. 204447) 2 epenson@JonesDay.com 3 Kathleen P. Wallace (State Bar No. 234949) kwallace@JonesDay.com 4 Rachel H. Zernik (Štate Bar No. 281222) rzernik@JonesDay.com 5 JONES DAY 555 South Flower Street 6 Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071.2300 7 Telephone: +1.213.489.3939 Facsimile: +1.213.243.2539 8 Attorneys for Defendants 9 PIONEER CORPORATION, PIONEER HIGH FIDELITY TAIWAN CO., LTD., PIONEER NORTH AMERICA, INC. AND PIONEER ELECTRONICS 10 (USA) INC. 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 14 15 16 IN RE: OPTICAL DISK DRIVE Case No. 3:10-md-2143-RS PRODUCTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION 17 This Document Relates to: STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] 18 ORDER REGARDING SERVICE OF 3:14-cv-03748, PROCESS, CONSOLIDATION AND 19 DISCOVERY IN RELATED ACTION JLK SYSTEMS GROUP, INC., et al. 20 Plaintiffs, v. 21 PIONEER CORPORATION, et al., 22 **Defendants** 23 24

25

26

27

28

| 1  | <u>STIPULATION</u>   |
|----|--|
| 2  | WHEREAS, on August 26, 2010, Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs ("DPPs") filed a                                      |
| 3  | consolidated complaint in this multi-district litigation against a number of defendants, in <i>In re</i>       |
| 4  | Optical Disk Drive Products Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:10-MD-2143-RS (the "ODD                           |
| 5  | Litigation"). (ODD Litigation, ECF No. 159.)   |
| 6  | WHEREAS, since that time, the ODD Litigation has proceeded.  |
| 7  | WHEREAS, on August 18, 2014, DPPs filed a separate class action complaint                                      |
| 8  | against defendants Pioneer Corporation, Pioneer High Fidelity Taiwan Co., Ltd., Pioneer North                  |
| 9  | America, Inc., and Pioneer Electronics (USA) Inc. (together, "Pioneer") relating to the same                   |
| 10 | claims set forth in the ODD Litigation, in JLK Systems Group, Inc., et al. v. Pioneer                          |
| 11 | Corporation., et al., Case No. 3:14-cv-03748-LB (the "JLK Action").  |
| 12 | WHEREAS, on August 25, 2014, this Court entered an order deeming the JLK                                       |
| 13 | Action related to the <i>ODD Litigation</i> and transferring the <i>JLK</i> Action to this Court. ( <i>ODD</i> |
| 14 | Litigation, ECF No. 1396.)   |
| 15 | WHEREAS, on September 2, 2014, Pioneer North America, Inc. and Pioneer   |
| 16 | Electronics (USA) Inc., were served with process in the JLK Action and their response to the JLK               |
| 17 | Action complaint is currently due on or before March 2, 2015.  |
| 18 | WHEREAS, Pioneer and DPPs have been meeting and conferring on several  |
| 19 | topics, such as the completion of service of process, consolidation and discovery.                             |
| 20 | NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the  |
| 21 | undersigned counsel for the parties as follows:  |
| 22 | 1. With respect to service of process, as authorized by Pioneer, undersigned                                   |
| 23 | counsel for Pioneer agree to accept service of the JLK Action complaint and the parties agree that             |
| 24 | Pioneer's response to the <i>JLK</i> Action complaint shall be due on or before March 2, 2015.                 |
| 25 | 2. With respect to consolidation, the <i>JLK</i> Action shall be fully consolidated                            |
| 26 | with, and Pioneer shall be a defendant in, the ODD Litigation, with the following agreements                   |
| 27 | between Pioneer and DPPs: (i) all filings including and/or relevant to Pioneer shall be filed in the           |
| 28 | ODD Litigation, rather than the JLK Action; (ii) consolidation of the JLK Action with the ODD                  |

## Case3:10-md-02143-RS Document1521 Filed02/12/15 Page3 of 3

| 1  | Litigation will not prevent Pioneer from seeking extensions of time to complete discovery, file |
|----|---|
| 2  | summary judgment, prepare for trial or complete other work in the ODD Litigation due to their   |
| 3  | late entry into this matter, and DPPs will meet and confer in good faith with Pioneer regarding |
| 4  | such extensions; and (iii) by agreeing to consolidation, Pioneer is not waiving any defenses,   |
| 5  | claims or arguments that Pioneer could otherwise assert in the separate JLK action.             |
| 6  | 3. With respect to discovery, Pioneer and DPPs agree that any discovery                         |
| 7  | served on Pioneer by DPPs shall be initially limited to the set of five Pioneer custodians      |
| 8  | previously agreed to by Pioneer and the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs.                          |
| 9  | IT IS SO STIPULATED.  |
| 10 | Dated: February 11, 2015 JONES DAY  |
| 11 |   |
| 12 | By: /S/ Eric P. Enson   |
| 13 | Eric P. Enson   |
| 14 | Attorneys for Defendants PIONEER CORPORATION, PIONEER   |
| 15 | HIGH FIDELITY TAIWAN CO., LTD.,<br>PIONEER NORTH AMERICA, INC. AND                              |
| 16 | PIONEER ELECTRONICS (USA) INC.  |
| 17 | Dated: February 11, 2015 SAVERI & SAVERI, INC.  |
| 18 |   |
| 19 | By: /S/ Cadio Zirpoli   |
| 20 | Cadio Zirpoli   |
| 21 | Executive Committee For DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 | * * *   |
| 24 | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |
| 25 |   |
| 26 | Dated: 2/12/2015  |
| 27 | RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  |
| 28 |   |
|    | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER  |